GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH

ABSTRACT

Public Services – Panchayat Raj & Rural Development Department – Sri A. Venkateswara Rao, the then Assistant Engineer, Panchayat Raj, Prathipadu, Guntur District - Disciplinary proceedings initiated against on the allegations of irregularities in execution of improvements of road from Pulladigunta to Ankireddypalem via Kornepadu and Lingalapalem - (V), Guntur Dist.–– Expired – Further action abated – Orders – Issued

---

PANCHAYAT RAJ & RURAL DEVELOPMENT (VIG.II) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No. 725 Dated:08.11.2017.

Read the following:-

1. From the GA (V&E) Dept., U.O.Note No.331/V&E-E2/98-1 dated24.04.1998
2. Govt. Memo No.14869/Estt.I(1)/1998-7, PR&RD (Estt.I) Dept., dated: 17.05.2000.
3. From Sri A.Venkateswara Rao, AE, PR, Written Statement of Defence dt:10.10.2000
4. G.O.Rt.No.1229, PR&RD (Vig.I) Dept., dt. 23.08.2003.
5. From Sri B.P.Venkateswarlu, COI, Lr. No.42(1,2,3)/COI-M/BPV/003, dt. 14.06.2004.
6. Govt. Memo. No.149/Vig.I(A)/2001-28, PR&RD (Vig.IA) Dept., dated:31.03.2005
7. From Sri A.Venkateswara Rao, AE., P.R., Tenali Division, Guntur Dist. letter dated:05.06.2006.
8. From the ENC., P.R., A.P., Vijayawada letter No.VigIII/20003/1998, dated:13.02.2017.

***

ORDER:

Based on the report furnished by the General Administration (Vigilance & Enforcement) Department on the allegations of irregularities in execution of improvements of road from Pulladigunta to Ankireddypalem via Kornepadu and Lingalapalem (V) , Guntur District, disciplinary proceedings were initiated in the Government Memo 2nd read above against Sri A.Venkateswara Rao, the then Assistant Engineer, Panchayat Raj, Prathipadu, Guntur District, by framing charges under Rule-20 of Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules, 1991. In reply to the charges, the Charged Officer had submitted his written statement of defence. After receipt of the Written Statement of defence from all the Charged Officers involved in this case, the case was entrusted to Commissioner of Inquiries in the G.O. 4th read above. In the letter 5th read above, the Commissioner of Inquiries had submitted the inquiry report, holding among others, that the charges framed against the Charged Officer are held not proved. Government, after examining the said inquiry report, have decided to disagree with the findings of the Commissioner of Inquiries. Accordingly, in memo. 6th read above, a copy of the enquiry report along with disagreement factors was communicated to Sri A. Venkateswara Rao, Assistant Engineer, Panchayat Raj. In reply, Sri A.Venkateswara Rao had submitted his explanation to the Government in his representation 7th read above. In the letter 8th read above, the Engineer-in-Chief, Panchayat Raj has reported that Sri A.Venkateswara Rao, Assistant Engineer has expired.

2. Government, after careful examination of the entire matter, have decided to abate further action against late A. Venkateswara Rao, Assistant Engineer, Panchayat Raj in this case.

Contd…P.2/

3. Accordingly, Government hereby abate further action against late A. Venkateswara Rao, Assistant Engineer, Panchayat Raj in this case.

4. The Engineer-in-Chief, Panchayat Raj, Andhra Pradesh, Vijayawada shall take further necessary action accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

Dr. K.S. JAWAHAR REDDY
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To
The Engineer-in-Chief, Panchayat Raj, Andhra Pradesh, Vijayawada.

Copy to:

The General Admn. (Vigilance & Enforcement) Department, Administrative Block, 2nd floor, Pandit Nehru Bus station, Andhra Pradesh, Vijayawada.
The Secretary to Vigilance Commission, Andhra Pradesh Vigilance Commission.
The Accountant General, Andhra Pradesh, Amaravathi, at Hyderabad.
S.f/S.c.

//FORWARDED::BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER